

Patent Application

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Allen et al.

DOCKET: YOR920030406US1 (8728-649)

SERIAL NO:

10/733,210

GROUP ART UNIT: 2825

FILED:

December 10, 2003

EXAMINER: Dinh, Paul

FOR:

FRAMEWORK FOR HIERARCHICAL VLSI DESIGN

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Examiner:

In response to the Office Action dated January 6, 2005. Applicants provisionally elect the claims directed towards Group I (claim nos. 1-12 and 16-27), with traverse. Claims 1-27 are pending.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 7, 2005.

Dated: A/7/05

Michele Fleitman

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentable distinct inventions: (A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (B) There must

be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required.

Examiner stated essentially that the Group I claims, 1-12 and 16-27, are drawn to a

method for hierarchical VLSI design and that the Group II claims, 13-15, are drawn to a method

for processing a design graph.

The Examiner has not provided a reason and/or example to support the conclusion the

groups are patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. Accordingly, no prima facie

showing has been made to support the restriction requirement. Under such circumstances, the

Examiner is encouraged to maintain all claims in the same application.

Respectfully submitted,

Nathaniel T. Wallace

Reg. No. 48,909

Attorney for Applicants

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP

30 Woodbury Road

Woodbury, New York 11797

TEL: (516) 692-8888

FAX: (516) 692-8889

2